BOARD TECHNICAL BULLETIN

29 JUNE 1962 REISSUED 28 JUNE 1974 AS BTB Real Advertise Remimeo

CANCELS HCO BULLETIN OF 29 JUNE 1962 SAME TITLE

HOW TO ACKNOWLEDGE

The following data was compiled at my request by the Auditing Section Supervisor of the Saint Hill Special Briefing Course, Herbie Parkhouse:

An acknowledgement is simply the ending or com-pletion of a cycle of action for one person by another, and has therefore a criteria of whether or not it was an acknowledgement. The criteria being did it or did it not end or complete the cycle for that person as observed by the person delivering the acknowledgement.

Let me amplify the above with examples in an auditing session. 1.4

INCORRECT

Aud: PC:	How are you doing? Oh alright.	
Aude	Good !	
PC:	Except for my leg and	3
Aud: PC:	Point out something. That.	
Aud: PC: Aud:	Good. Silent but continuing to look at Point out something.	that.

CORRECT

the state of the s

Aud :	Point out something.
PC :	That.
Auds	I didn't see that, what was it?
PC:	That fly crawling up the wall over there
Aud:	OK.
PC:	Still looking at fly.
Aud:	Good I got that.
PC:	Still looking at fly.
Aud:	Thank you!
PC:	Turned to face Auditor no longer thinking of fly.

If you had seen the above correct session or just listened to it, it would have sounded like this:

2	e sa si	Auds	Point ou	t so	nething	3•	n in the The second			
			That.		ж			1	14	(f_{i},s_{i})
	1.1	Aud:	I didn't	866	that,	what	Was	it?		

PC: That fly crawling up the wall over there. Aud: OK. Good I got that. Thank you.

The difference as regards acknowledgements in the examples are that in the first two examples the Auditor was concerned with putting on a good show, not doing anything wrong and auditing an imagined Case. The 3rd example was an Auditor who was not concerned with anything other than auditing the pre-clear in front of him and doing that which was necessary to get that PC winning.

So how do you acknowledge - why do you acknowledge? You achieve the result of ending or completing a cycle of action for another person with you who are giving the acknowledgement as the sole adjudicator of whether or not you have succeeded.

A special point that needs to be mentioned is Double Acknowledgement.

A Double Acknowledgement is the circumstance where an Auditor acknowledges a PC but by not obnosing correctly or auditing an imagined case then finds out he did not acknowledge the PC by virtue of the PC continuing on the same cycle of action and being forced into giving more acknowledgements.

FOR EXAMPLE

Aud: How are you doing? PC: Oh alright. Aud: Good PC: Except for my leg and eyes which hurt like. the devil. Aud: Good.

Double Acknowledgement is NOT, REPEAT NOT, the giving of more than one good or thank you. Double Acknowledgement only occurs when the Auditor assumes the Cycle has ended but the Pre-clear then draws the Auditor's attention to the fact that it didn't end and the Auditor has to acknowledge again in order to end that cycle.

In some cases that are continuously comm-lagging.

EXAMPLE:

Aud:	How are you?	 A second sec second second sec		
PC:	FineFine	though	I do have	some
	trouble with my	tongue	and my ear	8.

it may be advisable to ask "Is that all there is to that?" before acknowledging in order to avoid chopping your PC and putting them on an unintentional withold. For if you really acknowledge the PC and the PC has not finished answering you, you will find that, the PC will have great BTB 29.6.62

difficulty in recalling what it was they wanted to say.

As you can see from the foregoing an acknowledgement to be an æknowledgement has to do a specific job of work and the criteria of has it done it or not is the PC in front of you.

You can under-acknowledge - see first two examples.

You can <u>over</u>-acknowledge - which is giving an unnecessary number of Goods, Thank yous, etc., which will have the same effect as under acknowledging.

Or you can acknowledge.

I hope you will only acknowledge, and thus win.

Herbie Parkhouse

Auditing Section Supervisor SHSBC

Reissued as BTB by Flag Mission 1234

I/C: GPO Andrea Lewis 2nd: Molly Harlow

Authorized by AVU

for the BOARDS OF DIRECTORS of the CHURCHES OF SCIENTOLOGY

BDCS:SW:AL:MH:HP:mh Copyright C 1962, 1974 by L. Ron Hubbard ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

This is Reproduced and issued to you by The Publications Organization, U. S.